

1 Plaintiffs ("Plaintiffs"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly 2 situated, by and through their attorneys, alleges the following upon information 3 and belief, except as to those allegations concerning Plaintiffs, which are alleged upon personal knowledge. Plaintiffs' information and belief is based upon, 4 things, their counsel's investigation, which includes without 5 among other limitation: (a) review and analysis of regulatory filings made by Maison Solutions 6 7 Inc. ("Maison" or the "Company") with the United States ("U.S.") Securities and 8 Exchange Commission ("SEC"); (b) review and analysis of press releases and media reports issued by and disseminated by Maison; and (c) review of other 9 10 publicly available information concerning Maison.

11

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION AND OVERVIEW

This is a class action on behalf of persons and entities that purchased or 12 1. 13 otherwise acquired Maison (a) Class A common stock pursuant and/or traceable to the registration statement and prospectus (collectively, the "Registration Statement") 14 15 issued in connection with the Company's October 2023 initial public offering 16 ("IPO" or the "Offering"); and/or (b) securities between October 5, 2023 and December 15, 2023, inclusive (the "Class Period"). Plaintiffs pursue claims under 17 the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") and the Securities Exchange Act of 18 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). 19

20 2. Maison is a specialty grocery retailer offering Asian food and
21 merchandise to U.S. consumers.

3. On October 5, 2023, the Company filed its prospectus on Form 424B4
with the SEC, which forms part of the Registration Statement. In the IPO, the
Company sold 2,500,000 shares of Class A common stock at a price of \$4.00 per
share. The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$10 million from the
Offering. The proceeds from the IPO were purportedly to be used for new store
acquisitions and expansion, including opening new stores and the acquisition of
businesses and supermarkets that complement the business, including to acquire

"90% equity interests in (a) the Alhambra Store from Ms. Grace Xu, spouse of John
 Xu, our chief executive officer, and (b) Dai Cheong from Mr. Xu, by paying off the
 SBA loans held by each entity of approximately \$2.0 million and \$2.4 million,
 respectively, as partial consideration for such acquisitions[.]"

5 4. On December 15, 2023, at approximately 2:30 p.m. Eastern Standard Time, Hindenburg Research published a report about Maison, alleging a number of 6 "red flags" concerning potentially illegal activities. Hindenburg reported that 7 8 Defendant John Xu, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, is also the President of J&C International Group ("J&C") a company which "support[s] immigration 9 services for high-net-worth Chinese investors" and that J&C, John Xu and an 10 alleged related entity, Hong Kong Supermarkets, allegedly used supermarkets as a 11 12 front to defraud the EB-5 visa program. Hindenburg's investigation further revealed 13 that the Company may be "being pumped by WhatsApp chat rooms" with screenshots of chatrooms showing "trading plans." 14

- 15 5. On this news, Maison's stock price fell \$12.71 per share, or 83.6%, to
  16 close at \$2.50 per share on Friday, December 15, 2023, on unusually heavy trading
  17 volume.
- 18 6. By the commencement of this action, Maison stock has traded as low as
  19 \$1.50 per share, a more than 62% decline from the \$4 per share IPO price.

In the Registration Statement and throughout the Class Period, 20 7. 21 Defendants made materially false and/or misleading statements, as well as failed to disclose material adverse facts about the Company's business, operations, and 22 23 prospects. Specifically, Defendants failed to disclose to investors: (1) that the 24 Company's vendor XHJC Holdings Inc., is a related party; (2) that the Company's CEO and related entities were alleged to have used supermarkets as a front to 25 defraud the EB-5 visa program; and (3) that, as a result of the foregoing, 26 Defendants' positive statements about the Company's business, operations, and 27 28 prospects were materially misleading and/or lacked a reasonable basis.

- 8. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, and the
   precipitous decline in the market value of the Company's securities, Plaintiffs and
   other Class members have suffered significant losses and damages.
- 4

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5 6

7

8

9. The claims asserted herein arise under and pursuant to Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 77k and 77o), Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b) and 78t(a)) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC (17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5).

9 10. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action
10 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, Section 22 of the Securities Act (15 U.S.C. § 77v) and
11 Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. § 78aa).

12 11. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
13 1391(b) and Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. § 78aa(c)). Substantial acts
14 in furtherance of the alleged fraud or the effects of the fraud have occurred in this
15 Judicial District. Many of the acts charged herein, including the dissemination of
16 materially false and/or misleading information, occurred in substantial part in this
17 Judicial District. In addition, the Company's principal executive offices are in this
18 District.

19 12. In connection with the acts, transactions, and conduct alleged herein,
20 Defendants directly and indirectly used the means and instrumentalities of interstate
21 commerce, including the United States mail, interstate telephone communications,
22 and the facilities of a national securities exchange.

23

### **PARTIES**

13. Plaintiff \_\_\_\_, as set forth in the accompanying certification,
incorporated by reference herein, purchased or otherwise acquired Maison Class A
common stock pursuant and/or traceable to the Registration Statement issued in
connection with the Company's IPO and/or Maison securities during the Class

Period, and suffered damages as a result of the federal securities law violations and
 false and/or misleading statements and/or material omissions alleged herein.

3 14. Plaintiff forth the set in accompanying certification, , as incorporated by reference herein, purchased or otherwise acquired Maison 4 5 Class А common stock pursuant and/or traceable to the Registration Statement issued in connection with the Company's IPO and/or Maison securities 6 7 during the Class Period, and suffered damages as a result of the federal securities 8 law violations and false and/or misleading statements and/or material omissions alleged herein. 9

10 15. Defendant Maison is incorporated under the laws of Delaware with its
11 principal executive offices located in Monterey Park, California. Maison's Class A
12 common stock trades on the NASDAQ exchange under the symbol "MSS."

13 16. Defendant John Xu ("Xu") was, at all relevant times, the Chief
14 Executive Officer ("CEO"), Chairman of the Board of Directors, and President, and
15 signed or authorized the signing of the Company's Registration Statement filed with
16 the SEC.

17 17. Defendant Alexandria M. Lopez ("Lopez") was the Chief Financial
18 Officer ("CFO") of the Company at all relevant times, and signed or authorized the
19 signing of the Company's Registration Statement filed with the SEC.

18. 20 Defendants Xu and Lopez (collectively, the "Individual Defendants"), 21 because of their positions with the Company, possessed the power and authority to control the contents of the Company's reports to the SEC, press releases and 22 23 presentations to securities analysts, money and portfolio managers and institutional investors, i.e., the market. The Individual Defendants were provided with copies of 24 the Company's reports and press releases alleged herein to be misleading prior to, or 25 26 shortly after, their issuance and had the ability and opportunity to prevent their 27 issuance or cause them to be corrected. Because of their positions and access to 28 material non-public information available to them, the Individual Defendants knew

that the adverse facts specified herein had not been disclosed to, and were being
 concealed from, the public, and that the positive representations which were being
 made were then materially false and/or misleading. The Individual Defendants are
 liable for the false statements pleaded herein.

5

6

7

19. Defendant Tao Han ("Han") was, at all relevant times, the Chief Operating Officer of the Company and signed or authorized the signing of the Company's Registration Statement filed with the SEC.

8 20. Defendant Bin Wang ("Wang") signed or authorized the signing of the
9 Company's Registration Statement filed with the SEC as a "director nominee."

10 21. Defendant Mark Willis ("Willis") signed or authorized the signing of
11 the Company's Registration Statement filed with the SEC as a "director nominee."

12 22. Defendant Xiaoxia Zhang ("Zhang") signed or authorized the signing
13 of the Company's Registration Statement filed with the SEC as a "director
14 nominee."

15 23. Defendants Xu, Lopez, Han, Wang, Willis, and Zhang are also
16 collectively referred to hereinafter as the "Securities Act Individual Defendants."

17 24. Defendant Joseph Stone Capital, LLC ("Joseph Stone") served as an
18 underwriter for the Company's IPO. In the IPO, Joseph Stone agreed to purchase
19 2,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock, exclusive of the over-allotment
20 option.

21 25. Defendant AC Sunshine Securities LLC ("AC Sunshine") served as an
22 underwriter for the Company's IPO. In the IPO, AC Sunshine agreed to purchase
23 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock, exclusive of the over-allotment
24 option.

25 26. Defendants Joseph Stone and AC Sunshine are collectively referred to26 hereinafter as the "Underwriter Defendants."

- 27
- 28

#### **SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS**

#### **Background**

3 27. Maison is a specialty grocery retailer offering Asian food and merchandise to U.S. consumers. Soon after its founding in 2019, the Company 4 5 acquired three retail Asian supermarkets in Los Angeles, California and subsequently rebranded them as "HK Good Fortune Supermarkets" or "Hong Kong 6 7 Supermarkets." The Company has since acquired a fourth supermarket as well as a 8 wholesale supplier. Three of the Company's acquisitions, GF Supermarket of MP, Inc., HKGF Market of Alhambra, Inc, and Dai Cheong Trading Inc., were acquired 9 10 in whole or in part as related party transactions between the Company's CEO, 11 Defendant John Xu and/or his wife, Grace Xu.

12

1

2

13

# The Company's False and/or Misleading

## **Registration Statement and Prospectus**

14 28. On June 14, 2023, the Company filed its final amendment to the
15 Registration Statement with the SEC on Form S-1/A, which forms part of the
16 Registration Statement.

17 29. On September 13, 2023, the Company filed a post-effective amendment
18 for the registration statement with the SEC on Form POS AM, which forms part of
19 the Registration Statement. The Registration Statement was declared effective
20 September 29, 2023.

21 30. On October 5, 2023, the Company filed its prospectus on Form 424B4 with the SEC, which forms part of the Registration Statement. In the IPO, the 22 23 Company sold 2,500,000 shares of Class A common stock at a price of \$4.00 per 24 share. The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$10 million from the Offering. The proceeds from the IPO were purportedly to be used for new store 25 26 acquisitions and expansion, including opening new stores and the acquisition of 27 businesses and supermarkets that complement the business. Specifically, the net 28 proceeds of the IPO would be used to complete the acquisition and expansion of the

"90% equity interests in (a) the Alhambra Store from Ms. Grace Xu, spouse of John
 Xu, our chief executive officer, and (b) Dai Cheong from Mr. Xu, by paying off the
 SBA loans held by each entity of approximately \$2.0 million and \$2.4 million,
 respectively, as partial consideration for such acquisitions[.]"

5 31. The Registration Statement was negligently prepared and, as a result, 6 contained untrue statements of material facts or omitted to state other facts 7 necessary to make the statements made not misleading, and was not prepared in 8 accordance with the rules and regulations governing its preparation.

9 32. Under applicable SEC rules and regulations, the Registration Statement
10 was required to disclose all transactions with related parties, all relevant on-going
11 litigation and all known trends, events or uncertainties that were having, and were
12 reasonably likely to have, an impact on the Company's continuing operations.

- 13 33. The Registration Statement stated that the Company's affiliation with
  14 XHJC Holding Inc. ("XHJC") was limited to a vendor and supplier relationship,
  15 stating in relevant part:
- Maison believes that a centralized and efficient vendor and supply management system is the key to profitability. Maison has major vendors, including Drop in The Ocean, Inc., ONCO Food Corp., GF Distribution, Inc. and XHJC Holding Inc. For the year ended April 30, 2023, three suppliers accounted for 20%, 18% and 14% of the Company's total purchases, respectively. For the year ended April 30, 2022, three suppliers accounted for 23%, 21% and 14% of the Company's total purchases, respectively. For the three months ended July 31, 2023, two suppliers accounted for 34% and 19% of the Company's total purchases, respectively. For the three months ended July 31, 2022, three suppliers accounted for 21%, 20%, and 19% of the Company's total purchases, respectively.
  - The Company entered a promissory note with its vendor XHJC Holding Inc. on January 1, 2022, with a total loan amount of up to \$1,000,000 with 4% interest. On November 4, 2022, XHJC Holding Inc. repaid the remaining \$433,136 in full to the Company.
- 26

27

28

23

24

25

As of April 30, 2023, the prepayment mainly consists of \$1,527,243 paid to XHJC Holding Inc which is the Company's new centralized

1	vendor and \$20,000 paid to GF distribution, the Company's major vendor.
2	
3	As of April 30, 2022, the \$656,917 prepayment is the amount the company paid to XHJC Holding Inc. This vendor requires approximately one month prepayment for purchases. The prepayment
4	balance, as of April 30, 2022, was used for the Company's May 2022 purchase. The \$70,737 prepaid expense is the amount the Company
5 6	paid to its insurance company to purchase next term general liability insurance.
7	34. The Company disclosed only the following names associated with
	Related Party transactions: The United Food LLC, GF Supermarket of MP, Inc.,
8 9	Hong Kong Supermarket of Monterey Park, Ltd, HKGF Market of Alhambra, Inc.,
9 10	Dai Cheong Trading Co Inc., J&C International Group LLC, Good Fortune CA3,
10	LP, Ideal Investment, and Ideal City Capital. The Company did disclose a due on
11	demand, non-interest bearing payable loan of \$108,361 from J&C International
12	Group LLC, a related party transaction with Defendant Xu, who holds majority
14	ownership of this entity.
15	35. The Company claimed that "there is no pending litigation or
16	proceeding involving any of our directors, officers or employees for which
10	indemnification is sought, and we are not aware of any threatened litigation that
17	may result in claims for indemnification." Moreover, the Company purported to
10 19	disclose ongoing legal proceedings, stating in relevant part:
20	The Company is otherwise periodically involved in various legal proceedings that are incidental to the conduct of its business, including,
21	but not limited to, employment discrimination claims, customer injury claims, and investigations.
22	* * *
23	In May 2020, Maison El Monte was named as a co-defendant in a complaint filed by a consumer advocacy group alleging violations of a
24	California health and safety regulation. The case is pending in the
25	Superior Court of the State of California, and as such, the Company has not made any accruals of possible loss for the year ended April 30,
26	2023 related to this case.
27	
28	In June 2022, Maison San Gabriel entered into a confidential settlement agreement with the plaintiff in connection with a California
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
	8

employment law case whereby Maison San Gabriel agreed to pay \$98,500 to plaintiff in full settlement of all claims in the case. As a 1 result of the settlement agreement, the Company accrued \$98,500 as a loss relating to the case for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2022. During the year ended April 30, 2023, the Company accrued additional \$40,000 litigation loss. This settlement amount is subject to reduction by a court proceeding scheduled in 2023. 2 3 4 5 36. The Registration Statement was materially false and misleading and omitted to state: (1) that the Company's vendor, XHJC, is a related party; (2) that 6 7 the Company's CEO and related entities were alleged to have used supermarkets as 8 a front to defraud the EB-5 visa program; and (3) that, as a result of the foregoing, 9 Defendants' positive statements about the Company's business, operations, and 10 prospects were materially misleading and/or lacked a reasonable basis. **Materially False and Misleading** 11 **Statements Issued During the Class Period** 12 13 37. The Class Period begins on October 5, 2023. On that day, Maison's common stock began publicly trading pursuant to the Registration Statement, 14 15 including the statements identified in ¶33-35. 16 38. On November 29, 2023, the Company filed a registration statement on Form S-1 for the sale of up to 1,190,476 shares of Class A common stock by certain 17 18 selling shareholders from time to time. Therein, Maison stated that it "has major 19 vendors, including . . . XHJC Holding Inc.," but the list of related party transactions 20 did not identify XHJC. Moreover, the Company stated: "At present, there is no 21 pending litigation or proceeding involving any of our directors, officers or 22 employees for which indemnification is sought, and we are not aware of any 23 threatened litigation that may result in claims for indemnification." 24 39. On December 11, 2023, the Company filed its prospectus related to the 25 stock offered for sale by certain shareholders, which made substantially the same statements identified in the November 29, 2023 registration statement. 26 40. The above statements identified in ¶¶ 37-39 were materially false 27 28 and/or misleading, and failed to disclose material adverse facts about the Company's

business, operations, and prospects. Specifically, Defendants failed to disclose to
investors: (1) that the Company's vendor, XHJC, is a related party; (2) the
Company's CEO and related entities were alleged to have used supermarkets as a
front to defraud the EB-5 visa program; and (3) that, as a result of the foregoing,
Defendants' positive statements about the Company's business, operations, and
prospects were materially misleading and/or lacked a reasonable basis.

## The Subsequent Disclosures

8 41. On December 15, 2023, at approximately 2:30 p.m. Eastern Standard
9 Time, Hindenburg Research published a report (the "Hindenburg Report") alleging
10 that Maison exhibited "multiple red flags" and concluding that there is a high
11 probability that Maison "has become bloated in size due to illegal and nefarious
12 pumping/manipulation."

42. The Hindenburg Report alleged that Maison's vendor, XHJC, "is an
undisclosed related party." The Hindenburg report shows the principal address for
XHJC Holdings Inc is a business associated with Defendant Xu, the Company's
CEO, showing the address of XHJC Holding Inc is 2026 Huntington Drive, San
Marino, CA 91108, and that located at that address is a restaurant named "Masamitu
Japanese Cuisine." The Hindenburg Report showed that Masamitu Japanese Cuisine
lists Defendant Xu as part of the registration statement:

20 [image on following page] 

1	FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATE- MENT FILE NO. 2022054029 FIRST FIL- ING.
2	The following person(s) is (are) doing business as MASAMITSU JAPANESE
3	CUISINE, 2026 Huntington Drive, San Marino, CA 91108. Mailing Address, 127 N Garfield Ave, Monterey Park, Ca 91754.
4	This business is conducted by a corpora- tion. Registrant commenced to transact
5	business under the fictitious business name or names listed herein on Febru- ary 2022. Signed: LVL Management Inc
6	(CA- 4170030), 2026 Huntington Drive , San Marino, CA 91108; John Jun Xu,
7	Secretary. The statement was filed with the County Clerk of Los Angeles on March 9, 2022. NOTICE: This fictitious business
8	name statement expires five years from the date it was filed in the office of the
9	County Clerk. A new fictitious business name statement must be filed prior to that date. The filing of this statement does not
10	of itself authorize the use in this state of a fictitious business name in violation of the
11	rights of another under federal, state or common law (See Section 14400 et seq., Business and Professional Code).
12	Pub. Monrovia Weekly March 17, 2022, March 24, 2022, March 31, 2022, April 7,
13	43. The Hindenburg Report also alleged that Maison is embroiled in a
14	previously undisclosed, ongoing litigation set for trial related to Defendant Xu's
15	alleged use of supermarkets as a front to defraud the EB-5 visa program:
16	In \$MSS IPO prospectus, Chairman, CEO and key holder John Xu is
17 18	also listed as President of J&C International Group, LLC, "a cross- border investment firm" since 2013. J&C's website says it "support[s] immigration services for high-net-worth Chinese investors and institutions".
19	* * *
20	J&C International, \$MSS CEO John Xu and related entity Hong Kong
21	Supermarkets were named as defendants in 2020 litigation alleging they used supermarkets as a front to defraud the EB-5 visa program.
22	they used supermarkets as a none to demade the ED 5 visa program.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 11

1	structure. Defendants made no mention of Plaintiff's salary or payroll. Plaintiff was told to
2	simply to sit in the executive office during his work hours. Plaintiff was given no tasks to
3	perform. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff with a written employment agreement between
4	Plaintiff and ZHL or Hong Kong Supermarket, nor any other writing establishing Plaintiff as a
5	9
-	COMPLAINT
6	
1	
8	
9	bona fide employee, manager or executive of either entity.
10	39. The next day, Emily told plaintiff that there are other "executives" do not come in
11	to work at all, and plaintiff could do the same. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Emily's statement about other "executives" at the same location who perform no
12	work whatsoever evidences Defendants' use of Hong Kong Supermarket as a front for a scheme
13	of immigration fraud, and as the spurious work location of other purported "managers" and
14	"executives" on whose behalf Defendants are preparing and submitting documents to defraud
15	USCIS.
16	* * *
17	The plaintiff alleged that <i>J&amp;C International took a \$500k investment</i>
18	in Hong Kong Supermarket from him to help him get his Visa.
19	He alleged that \$MSS CEO John Xu and J&C forged his Visa application, and listed him as a fake employee of a supermarket
	location now owned by \$MSS.
20	9 62. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein
21	<sup>10</sup> mentioned J&C International is in the "investment for green card business" targeting Chinese
22	<sup>11</sup> immigrant investors including Plaintiff; and the many businesses associated with John Xu are
23	<ul> <li>fake companies and sham corporations for the purpose of obtaining work visa and green card for</li> <li>J&amp;C International's victims.</li> </ul>
24	
25	* * *
26	The plaintiff alleged that when he asked for copies of his visa
27	application, he was threatened with retaliation by J&C and John Xu.
28	The court found in favor of the plaintiff, and defendants lost an appeal.
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
	12

1	The case is currently being scheduled for trial.
2	* * *
3 4	In a separate lawsuit from 2018, a plaintiff alleged that J&C and \$MSS CEO John Xu engaged in a scheme to loot his company and turn it into an illegal visa mill.
5	44. Significantly, the Hindenburg Report alleged the Company's stock
6	price was being manipulated:
7	Our investigation also revealed that \$MSS is being <i>pumped by</i>
8	<i>WhatsApp chat rooms</i> , in similar fashion to what we saw with a similar China-based pump and dump, \$GDHG, which crashed ~90% in
9	one day of trading last week. The chatroom dialogues were nearly
10	November 28th Trading Plan
11	Code : MSS (NASDAQ)
12	Limit Order Buy : 12. / Market Price
13	
14	Quantity : Buy Shares
15	Expected profit this time around 15%. Anticipated holding period to conclude
16	within 4 business days. After the trade, please send me detailed screenshots
17	of your buying process. This will help me monitor and track your real-time
18	situation. 9:54 AM
19	identical.
20	
21	On Nov. 22 2023 Maison closed a private placement of 1,190,476 shares of its common stock at \$4.20 per share to 2 foreign individuals. One purchase was for 892,857 shares, representing
22 23	5.12% of the total outstanding shares and the largest holding outside of the CEO.
23 24	The closing price on the day was \$10.87, giving these unnamed
2 <del>4</del> 25	buyers an instant 61% discount on shares they turned around and registered for re-sale one week later. The Prospectus filing for sale of
23 26	these shares was filed on December 11th.
20 27	
28	
_0	
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 13

45. On this news, Maison's stock price fell \$12.71 per share, or 83.6%, to
 close at \$2.50 per share on Friday, December 15, 2023, on unusually heavy trading
 volume.

4 46. By the commencement of this action, Maison stock has traded as low as
5 \$1.50 per share, a more than 62% decline from the \$4 per share IPO price.

6

## **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

7 47. Plaintiffs bring this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of 8 Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a class, consisting of all persons and 9 entities that purchased or otherwise acquired Maison: (a) Class A common stock 10 pursuant and/or traceable to the Company's false and/or misleading Registration Statement issued in connection with the Company's IPO; and/or (b) securities 11 between October 5, 2023 and December 15, 2023, inclusive, and who were damaged 12 13 thereby (the "Class"). Excluded from the Class are Defendants, the officers and directors of the Company, at all relevant times, members of their immediate families 14 15 and their legal representatives, heirs, successors, or assigns, and any entity in which Defendants have or had a controlling interest. 16

48. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members 17 is impracticable. While the exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiffs 18 at this time and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, Plaintiffs 19 believe that there are at least hundreds or thousands of members in the proposed 2021 Class. The Company sold 2,500,000 shares of Class A common stock in the IPO. Moreover, record owners and other members of the Class may be identified from 22 23 records maintained by Maison or its transfer agent and may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail, using the form of notice similar to that customarily 24 used in securities class actions. 25

49. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class
as all members of the Class are similarly affected by Defendants' wrongful conduct
in violation of federal law that is complained of herein.

50. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members
 of the Class and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class and
 securities litigation.

- 4 51. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class
  5 and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the
  6 Class. Among the questions of law and fact common to the Class are:
- 7 (a) whether the federal securities laws was violated by Defendants'
  8 acts as alleged herein;

9 (b) whether the Registration Statement, statements made by 10 Defendants to the investing public in connection with the Company's IPO, and 11 statements made by Defendants to the investing public during the Class Period 12 omitted and/or misrepresented material facts about the business, operations, and 13 prospects of Maison; and

14 (c) to what extent the members of the Class have sustained damages15 and the proper measure of damages.

16 52. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and 17 efficient adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is 18 impracticable. Furthermore, as the damages suffered by individual Class members 19 may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation makes it 20 impossible for members of the Class to individually redress the wrongs done to 21 them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.

22

## UNDISCLOSED ADVERSE FACTS

53. The market for Maison's securities was open, well-developed and
efficient at all relevant times. As a result of these materially false and/or misleading
statements, and/or failures to disclose, Maison's securities traded at artificially
inflated prices during the Class Period. Plaintiffs and other members of the Class
purchased or otherwise acquired Maison's securities relying upon the integrity of

the market price of the Company's securities and market information relating to 1 2 Maison, and have been damaged thereby.

3

During the Class Period, Defendants materially misled the investing 54. public, thereby inflating the price of Maison's securities, by publicly issuing false 4 5 and/or misleading statements and/or omitting to disclose material facts necessary to make Defendants' statements, as set forth herein, not false and/or misleading. The 6 7 statements and omissions were materially false and/or misleading because they 8 failed to disclose material adverse information and/or misrepresented the truth about 9 Maison's business, operations, and prospects as alleged herein.

10 55. At all relevant times, the material misrepresentations and omissions particularized in this Complaint directly or proximately caused or were a substantial 11 contributing cause of the damages sustained by Plaintiffs and other members of the 12 13 Class. As described herein, during the Class Period, Defendants made or caused to be made a series of materially false and/or misleading statements about Maison's 14 15 financial well-being and prospects. These material misstatements and/or omissions had the cause and effect of creating in the market an unrealistically positive 16 17 assessment of the Company and its financial well-being and prospects, thus causing 18 the Company's securities to be overvalued and artificially inflated at all relevant times. Defendants' materially false and/or misleading statements during the Class 19 Period resulted in Plaintiffs and other members of the Class purchasing the 2021 Company's securities at artificially inflated prices, thus causing the damages 22 complained of herein when the truth was revealed.

23

## LOSS CAUSATION

24 56. Defendants' wrongful conduct, as alleged herein, directly and proximately caused the economic loss suffered by Plaintiffs and the Class. 25

During the Class Period, Plaintiffs and the Class purchased Maison's 26 57. securities at artificially inflated prices and were damaged thereby. The price of the 27 28 Company's securities significantly declined when the misrepresentations made to the market, and/or the information alleged herein to have been concealed from the
 market, and/or the effects thereof, were revealed, causing investors' losses.

3

## **SCIENTER ALLEGATIONS**

4 58. As alleged herein, Defendants acted with scienter since Defendants 5 knew that the public documents and statements issued or disseminated in the name of the Company were materially false and/or misleading; knew that such statements 6 7 or documents would be issued or disseminated to the investing public; and 8 knowingly and substantially participated or acquiesced in the issuance or 9 dissemination of such statements or documents as primary violations of the federal 10 securities laws. As set forth elsewhere herein in detail, the Individual Defendants, by virtue of their receipt of information reflecting the true facts regarding Maison, 11 their control over, and/or receipt and/or modification of Maison's allegedly 12 13 materially misleading misstatements and/or their associations with the Company which made them privy to confidential proprietary information concerning Maison, 14 participated in the fraudulent scheme alleged herein. 15

- 16
- 17

## **APPLICABILITY OF PRESUMPTION OF RELIANCE**

#### (FRAUD-ON-THE-MARKET DOCTRINE)

18 59. The market for Maison's securities was open, well-developed and efficient at all relevant times. As a result of the materially false and/or misleading 19 statements and/or failures to disclose, Maison's securities traded at artificially 20 21 inflated prices during the Class Period. On December 14, 2023, the Company's share price closed at a Class Period high of \$15.21 per share. Plaintiffs and other 22 23 members of the Class purchased or otherwise acquired the Company's securities 24 relying upon the integrity of the market price of Maison's securities and market information relating to Maison, and have been damaged thereby. 25

26 60. During the Class Period, the artificial inflation of Maison's shares was
27 caused by the material misrepresentations and/or omissions particularized in this
28 Complaint causing the damages sustained by Plaintiffs and other members of the

Class. As described herein, during the Class Period, Defendants made or caused to 1 2 be made a series of materially false and/or misleading statements about Maison's 3 business, prospects, and operations. These material misstatements and/or omissions created an unrealistically positive assessment of Maison and its business, operations, 4 and prospects, thus causing the price of the Company's securities to be artificially 5 inflated at all relevant times, and when disclosed, negatively affected the value of 6 the Company shares. Defendants' materially false and/or misleading statements 7 8 during the Class Period resulted in Plaintiffs and other members of the Class purchasing the Company's securities at such artificially inflated prices, and each of 9 10 them has been damaged as a result.

11 61. At all relevant times, the market for Maison's securities was an12 efficient market for the following reasons, among others:

(a) Maison shares met the requirements for listing, and was listed
and actively traded on the Nasdaq, a highly efficient and automated market;

15 (b) As a regulated issuer, Maison filed periodic public reports with
16 the SEC and/or the Nasdaq;

(c) Maison regularly communicated with public investors via
established market communication mechanisms, including through regular
dissemination of press releases on the national circuits of major newswire services
and through other wide-ranging public disclosures, such as communications with the
financial press and other similar reporting services; and/or

(d) Maison was followed by securities analysts employed by
brokerage firms who wrote reports about the Company, and these reports were
distributed to the sales force and certain customers of their respective brokerage
firms. Each of these reports was publicly available and entered the public
marketplace.

62. As a result of the foregoing, the market for Maison's securitiespromptly digested current information regarding Maison from all publicly available

sources and reflected such information in Maison's share price. Under these
 circumstances, all purchasers of Maison's securities during the Class Period suffered
 similar injury through their purchase of Maison's securities at artificially inflated
 prices and a presumption of reliance applies.

5 A Class-wide presumption of reliance is also appropriate in this action 63. under the Supreme Court's holding in Affiliated Ute Citizens of Utah v. United 6 States, 406 U.S. 128 (1972), because the Class's claims are, in large part, grounded 7 8 on Defendants' material misstatements and/or omissions. Because this action involves Defendants' failure to disclose material adverse information regarding the 9 business operations and financial prospects—information 10 Company's that Defendants were obligated to disclose-positive proof of reliance is not a 11 prerequisite to recovery. All that is necessary is that the facts withheld be material 12 13 in the sense that a reasonable investor might have considered them important in making investment decisions. Given the importance of the Class Period material 14 misstatements and omissions set forth above, that requirement is satisfied here. 15

16

#### **NO SAFE HARBOR**

The statutory safe harbor provided for forward-looking statements 17 64. 18 under certain circumstances does not apply to any of the allegedly false statements pleaded in this Complaint. The statements alleged to be false and misleading herein 19 all relate to then-existing facts and conditions. In addition, to the extent certain of 20 21 the statements alleged to be false may be characterized as forward looking, they were not identified as "forward-looking statements" when made and there were no 22 23 meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause 24 actual results to differ materially from those in the purportedly forward-looking statements. In the alternative, to the extent that the statutory safe harbor is 25 26 determined to apply to any forward-looking statements pleaded herein, Defendants are liable for those false forward-looking statements because at the time each of 27 28 those forward-looking statements was made, the speaker had actual knowledge that

1	the forward-looking statement was materially false or misleading, and/or the
2	forward-looking statement was authorized or approved by an executive officer of
3	Maison who knew that the statement was false when made.
4	FIRST CLAIM
5	Violation of Section 11 of the Securities Act
6	<u>(Against All Defendants)</u>
7	65. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege each and every allegation contained
8	above as if fully set forth herein.
9	66. This claim is brought pursuant to Section 11 of the Securities Act, 15
10	U.S.C. § 77k, on behalf of the Class, against the Defendants.
11	67. The Registration Statement for the IPO was inaccurate and misleading,
12	contained untrue statements of material facts, omitted to state other facts necessary
13	to make the statements made not misleading, and omitted to state material facts
14	required to be stated therein.
15	68. Maison is the registrant for the IPO. Defendants named herein were
16	responsible for the contents and dissemination of the Registration Statement.
17	69. As issuer of the shares, Maison is strictly liable to Plaintiffs and the
18	Class for the misstatements and omissions.
19	70. None of the Defendants named herein made a reasonable investigation
20	or possessed reasonable grounds for the belief that the statements contained in the
21	Registration Statement was true and without omissions of any material facts and
22	were not misleading.
23	71. By reasons of the conduct herein alleged, each Defendant violated,
24	and/or controlled a person who violated Section 11 of the Securities Act.
25	72. Plaintiffs acquired Maison shares pursuant and/or traceable to the
26	Registration Statement for the IPO.
27	
28	

# CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 20

1	73. Plaintiffs and the Class have sustained damages. The value of Maison
2	Class A common stock has declined substantially subsequent to and due to the
3	Defendants' violations.
4	SECOND CLAIM
5	Violation of Section 15 of the Securities Act
6	(Against the Securities Act Individual Defendants)
7	74. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege each and every allegation contained
8	above as if fully set forth herein.
9	75. This claim is asserted against the Securities Act Individual Defendants
10	and is based upon Section 15 of the Securities Act.
11	76. The Securities Act Individual Defendants, by virtue of their offices,
12	directorship, and specific acts were, at the time of the wrongs alleged herein and as
13	set forth herein, controlling persons of Maison within the meaning of Section 15 of
14	the Securities Act. The Securities Act Individual Defendants had the power and
15	influence and exercised the same to cause Maison to engage in the acts described
16	herein.
17	77. The Securities Act Individual Defendants' positions made them privy
18	to and provided them with actual knowledge of the material facts concealed from
19	Plaintiffs and the Class.
20	78. By virtue of the conduct alleged herein, the Securities Act Individual
21	Defendants are liable for the aforesaid wrongful conduct and are liable to Plaintiffs
22	and the Class for damages suffered.
23	THIRD CLAIM
24	Violation of Section 10(b) of The Exchange Act and
25	<b>Rule 10b-5 Promulgated Thereunder</b>
26	Against Maison and the Individual Defendants
27	79. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege each and every allegation contained
28	above as if fully set forth herein.

80. During the Class Period, Defendants carried out a plan, scheme and
 course of conduct which was intended to and, throughout the Class Period, did: (i)
 deceive the investing public, including Plaintiffs and other Class members, as
 alleged herein; and (ii) cause Plaintiffs and other members of the Class to purchase
 Maison's securities at artificially inflated prices. In furtherance of this unlawful
 scheme, plan and course of conduct, Defendants, and each defendant, took the
 actions set forth herein.

8 81. Defendants (i) employed devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud; (ii) made untrue statements of material fact and/or omitted to state material facts 9 10 necessary to make the statements not misleading; and (iii) engaged in acts, practices, and a course of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon the purchasers of 11 the Company's securities in an effort to maintain artificially high market prices for 12 13 Maison's securities in violation of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5. All Defendants are sued either as primary participants in the wrongful and illegal 14 15 conduct charged herein or as controlling persons as alleged below.

16 82. Defendants, individually and in concert, directly and indirectly, by the
17 use, means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce and/or of the mails, engaged
18 and participated in a continuous course of conduct to conceal adverse material
19 information about Maison's financial well-being and prospects, as specified herein.

Defendants employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud, while 20 83. 21 in possession of material adverse non-public information and engaged in acts, practices, and a course of conduct as alleged herein in an effort to assure investors of 22 23 Maison's value and performance and continued substantial growth, which included 24 the making of, or the participation in the making of, untrue statements of material facts and/or omitting to state material facts necessary in order to make the 25 26 statements made about Maison's and its business operations and future prospects in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, as set forth 27 28 more particularly herein, and engaged in transactions, practices and a course of business which operated as a fraud and deceit upon the purchasers of the Company's
 securities during the Class Period.

2

3 84. Each of the Individual Defendants' primary liability and controlling person liability arises from the following facts: (i) the Individual Defendants were 4 5 high-level executives and/or directors at the Company during the Class Period and members of the Company's management team or had control thereof; (ii) each of 6 7 these defendants, by virtue of their responsibilities and activities as a senior officer 8 and/or director of the Company, was privy to and participated in the creation, development and reporting of the Company's internal budgets, plans, projections 9 10 and/or reports; (iii) each of these defendants enjoyed significant personal contact 11 and familiarity with the other defendants and was advised of, and had access to, other members of the Company's management team, internal reports and other data 12 13 and information about the Company's finances, operations, and sales at all relevant times; and (iv) each of these defendants was aware of the Company's dissemination 14 of information to the investing public which they knew and/or recklessly 15 16 disregarded was materially false and misleading.

17 Defendants had actual knowledge of the misrepresentations and/or 85. 18 omissions of material facts set forth herein, or acted with reckless disregard for the truth in that they failed to ascertain and to disclose such facts, even though such 19 facts were available to them. Such defendants' material misrepresentations and/or 20 21 omissions were done knowingly or recklessly and for the purpose and effect of concealing Maison's financial well-being and prospects from the investing public 22 23 and supporting the artificially inflated price of its securities. As demonstrated by 24 Defendants' overstatements and/or misstatements of the Company's business, operations, financial well-being, and prospects throughout the Class Period, 25 Defendants, if they did not have actual knowledge of the misrepresentations and/or 26 omissions alleged, were reckless in failing to obtain such knowledge by deliberately 27

refraining from taking those steps necessary to discover whether those statements
 were false or misleading.

3 86. As a result of the dissemination of the materially false and/or misleading information and/or failure to disclose material facts, as set forth above, 4 5 the market price of Maison's securities was artificially inflated during the Class Period. In ignorance of the fact that market prices of the Company's securities were 6 artificially inflated, and relying directly or indirectly on the false and misleading 7 8 statements made by Defendants, or upon the integrity of the market in which the securities trades, and/or in the absence of material adverse information that was 9 10 known to or recklessly disregarded by Defendants, but not disclosed in public statements by Defendants during the Class Period, Plaintiffs and the other members 11 of the Class acquired Maison's securities during the Class Period at artificially high 12 13 prices and were damaged thereby.

At the time of said misrepresentations and/or omissions, Plaintiffs and 14 87. 15 other members of the Class were ignorant of their falsity, and believed them to be 16 true. Had Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class and the marketplace known the truth regarding the problems that Maison was experiencing, which were not 17 18 disclosed by Defendants, Plaintiffs and other members of the Class would not have 19 purchased or otherwise acquired their Maison securities, or, if they had acquired such securities during the Class Period, they would not have done so at the 20 21 artificially inflated prices which they paid.

88. By virtue of the foregoing, Defendants violated Section 10(b) of the
Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder.

89. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct,
Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with
their respective purchases and sales of the Company's securities during the Class
Period.

2 3

4

5

1

#### FOURTH CLAIM

## Violation of Section 20(a) of The Exchange Act Against the Individual Defendants

90. Plaintiffs repeat and re-allege each and every allegation contained above as if fully set forth herein.

91. The Individual Defendants acted as controlling persons of Maison 6 7 within the meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act as alleged herein. By 8 virtue of their high-level positions and their ownership and contractual rights, participation in, and/or awareness of the Company's operations and intimate 9 10 knowledge of the false financial statements filed by the Company with the SEC and 11 disseminated to the investing public, the Individual Defendants had the power to influence and control and did influence and control, directly or indirectly, the 12 13 decision-making of the Company, including the content and dissemination of the various statements which Plaintiffs contends are false and misleading. The 14 Individual Defendants were provided with or had unlimited access to copies of the 15 Company's reports, press releases, public filings, and other statements alleged by 16 Plaintiffs to be misleading prior to and/or shortly after these statements were issued 17 and had the ability to prevent the issuance of the statements or cause the statements 18 19 to be corrected.

92. In particular, the Individual Defendants had direct and supervisory
involvement in the day-to-day operations of the Company and, therefore, had the
power to control or influence the particular transactions giving rise to the securities
violations as alleged herein, and exercised the same.

- 93. As set forth above, Maison and the Individual Defendants each violated
  Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 by their acts and omissions as alleged in this
  Complaint. By virtue of their position as controlling persons, the Individual
  Defendants are liable pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act.
- 28

1	94. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct,
2	Plaintiffs and other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their
3	purchases of the Company's securities during the Class Period.
4	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
5	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for relief and judgment, as follows:
6	(a) Determining that this action is a proper class action under Rule 23 of
7	the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
8	(b) Awarding compensatory damages in favor of Plaintiffs and the other
9	Class members against all defendants, jointly and severally, for all damages
10	sustained as a result of Defendants' wrongdoing, in an amount to be proven at trial,
11	including interest thereon;
12	(c) Awarding Plaintiffs and the Class their reasonable costs and expenses
13	incurred in this action, including counsel fees and expert fees; and
14	(d) Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
15	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
16	Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 26
	20

1	DATED:
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 27